



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2746/2025

JANHIT SEWA SAMITI (REGD.)Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prem Kr. Nayyar, Adv.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (S.T.F.) & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Arjun Mahajan, S.C. with Mr.
Apoorv Upmanyu, Mr. Harsh Vashisht,
Advs. for DDA

Mr. Manu Chaturvedi, S.C. with Mr.
Ahmed Jamal Siddiqui, Mr. Kshitiz
Kishor Rai, Mr. Madhav Tripathi, Advs.
for MCD

Mr. Abhinav Singh, Adv. for GNCTD

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

%

10.12.2025

CM APPL. 77950/2025 (for early hearing)

1. For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 2746/2025

3. With the consent of the parties, the matter is taken up for hearing today itself.
4. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.
5. By instituting the instant public interest litigation petition, a prayer has been made seeking a direction to check and stop an alleged illegal construction of the building at Laxman Dass Society Trust, Kewal Park



Extension, Azad Pur, Delhi.

6. Learned counsel representing the Delhi Development Authority has submitted that the property, which has not appropriately been described in the present petition, was attempted to be surveyed, however, on account of incomplete details of the property made available by the petitioner, the survey could not reveal any encroachment on account of the fact that the properties were not clearly identified.

7. Municipal Corporation of Delhi has also attended to the complaint made by the petitioner and it was found that the khasra number mentioned in the complaint is a large area in Kewal Park Extension and since no specific property number has been mentioned in the complaint, it is difficult to trace out the properties in question.

8. Let the outcome of the said complaint filed by the petitioner be intimated to learned counsel for the petitioner by learned counsel for the Delhi Development Authority/ Municipal Corporation of Delhi.

9. If the petitioner is still aggrieved by any action already lapsed on the part of the authorities, it will be open to the petitioner to institute a fresh petition with clear details of the properties, which are said to be illegally constructed.

10. In view of the aforesaid terms, the present public interest litigation petition stands disposed of.

11. The date already fixed, i.e. 25.02.2026 stands cancelled.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

DECEMBER 10, 2025/j