



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RC.REV. 100/2019**
TILLU RAM @ TILLU MALPetitioner

Through: Mr. Vinay Rathi, Adv.
versus

ANITA GUPTARespondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

21.02.2025

%

1. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the possession of the subject premises has already been recovered by the Respondent on 09.09.2024 before the Executing Court. He seeks to rely upon the statement as recorded by the Respondent before the Court in Ex No. 49/24 captioned *Anita Gupta v. Tillu Ram*.
2. In view of the foregoing, learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the present Petition.
3. The present Petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.
4. The parties will act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

FEBRUARY 21, 2025/r

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)