



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ MAT.APP.(F.C.) 85/2023, CM APPL. 15750/2023 (Delay of 30 days in filing the appeal) & CM APPL. 15751/2023 (Addl.Doc.)

SUMIT PHATELAAppellant

Through: None.

versus

BHARTI KHURANARespondent

Through: Respondent in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR

% **ORDER**
15.10.2025

1. The present Appeal, filed under Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, 1984, challenges the Order dated 23.12.2022 passed by the learned Judge, Family Court, Rohini Courts, North District in **Guardianship Petition No. 55637/2016**, titled "*Sumit Phatela v. Bharti Khurana*".
2. The Appellant remains unrepresented.
3. The Respondent, who appears in person, states that the present Appeal is rendered infructuous as the main petition filed under Section 25 of the **Guardian and Wards Act, 1890** stands withdrawn by the Appellant on 18.07.2025.
4. Since the present Appeal was filed to challenge the correctness of the Order granting visitation rights to the Appellant during the pendency of the main petition, such interlocutory order passed by the



learned Family Court would not continue to operate after the main petition has already been withdrawn.

5. Accordingly, the present Appeal is dismissed as being infructuous, with liberty to the Appellant to file application for revival, if the cause for continuing the present Appeal survives.

6. The case status of the Section 25 petition on the website of the concerned District Court also reflects that the petition was withdrawn on 18.07.2025.

7. In view of the above, the present Appeal, along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
OCTOBER 15, 2025/tk/kr/rn