



\$~57 & 58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 66/2020**

PUSHPA MALIK

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

GOVERDHAN LAL & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: None.

(58)

+ **RC.REV. 68/2020**

PUSHPA MALIK

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

ATUL KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

% **13.01.2025**

1. None appears for the parties.
2. Learned Counsel for the Respondents had appeared on the last date of hearing and informed the Court that the dispute between the parties has already been settled and that the keys of the tenanted premises have already been handed over by the Petitioner to the Respondents.
3. The record shows that there was no appearance on behalf of the Petitioner on the last date of hearing as well.
4. Quite clearly since the possession of the subject premises has been restored, the Petitioner is not interested in proceeding with the matter.
5. The Petitions are accordingly dismissed.
6. Parties will act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

JANUARY 13, 2025/r

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)