



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.L.P. 30/2021**
CELEBRATIONS

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Ruchir Batra and Mr. Uttam
Verma, Advocates.

versus

MILI SINGH

.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Hem C. Vashisht, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER
07.04.2025

%

CRL.L.P. 30/2021

1. An Application under Section 378(3) read with Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed on behalf of the Appellant seeking Permission to grant Leave to Appeal.
2. It is submitted that impugned judgment of the learned M.M dated 29.07.2020 had caused irreparable loss to the Appellant/Complainant and the Appellant has good grounds on law to challenge the impugned Judgment.
3. In view of the submissions made, the Leave to Appeal is allowed.

Crl.APPL. /2025 (To be numbered by the Registry)

4. An Appeal under Section 378(4) read with Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed on behalf of the Appellant challenging the Judgment of acquittal



of the Respondent dated 29.07.2020 passed by the learned M.M, N.I Act.

5. Submissions heard.
6. Reserved for Orders.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

APRIL 7, 2025/va