



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1531/2025**

SABINA KHATOON

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Cauveri Birbal, Mr. Kamlendu Pandey, Ms. Preksha Gaur, Ms. Nishtha Dhall, Ms. Anvita Aggarwal, Advocates.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahalot, APP with SI Mahendra Patel PS Narela.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

29.10.2025

%

1. A Petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as 'B.N.S.S.') read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as 'Cr.P.C.') has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner for quashing of FIR No.815/2014 under Section 498A/406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter referred to as 'IPC') registered at Police Station Narela and all the proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage was solemnized between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 on 01.06.2002 according to Muslim rites and rituals. Out of the said wedlock three children were born.
3. Due to the temperamental differences and conflicts between the Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2, on the Complaint of respondent No. 2, an FIR bearing No. 815/2014 under Sections 498A/406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 got registered at Police Station Narela.
4. It is stated that the Chargesheet was filed in the Court and during the



pendency of the case, the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioner and Respondent No.2 vide Compromise Deed dated 25.11.2015, whereby Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2 have decided to reconcile their marriage and to live together as husband and wife.

5. In view of the Compromise Deed dated 25.11.2015, the present Petition has been filed.

6. The parties are present before this Court in-person today, and have been identified by their respective counsels and Investigating Officer concerned. The parties have endorsed the amicable settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily. Both Petitioner and the Respondent No.2 undertake to abide by the terms of the Settlement dated 25.11.2015. Thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

7. The present petition has been signed by all the parties and is supported by their respective affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the settlement arrived at vide Compromise Deed dated 25.11.2015 and they also submit that the said Compromise Deed has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and coercion.

8. The Petitioner submits that she has been living in her matrimonial home peacefully since last seven years after reconciling all the issues with the Respondent. Today, the Complainant, who is present in Court, states that he has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

9. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, I am of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in



the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

10. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, FIR bearing No.815/2014 registered at Police Station Narela, for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

12. The petition stands disposed of along with pending Application(s).

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

OCTOBER 29, 2025/va