



\$~76

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2585/2025 and CM APPL.12304/2025
INDIAN ASSOCIATION OF HALLMARKING CENTERS

.....Petitioner

Through: Advocate (appearance not given)

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ruchir Mishra, Mr. Sanjiv Kr. Saxena, Mr. Mukesh Kr. Tiwari, Ms. Poonam Shukla, Ms. Reba Jena Mishra and Ms. Harshita Sharma, Advs. for UOI.
Mr. Vivek Singh, Adv. for R-2 and 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

03.03.2025

%

1. After some hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner confines himself to raising a grievance as regards the manner in which Clause-5 of the impugned guidelines for recognition and operation of assaying and hallmarking centres are being applied by the concerned respondents. He submits that the manner of the application of the said guidelines is causing grave prejudice to the members of the petitioner (Indian Association of Hallmarking Centers).
2. After some hearing, ewith the consent of respective counsel, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the present writ petition as a representation and to pass a reasoned order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.
3. Let the said exercise be done within a period of four weeks from



today.

4. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.
5. If the petitioner is aggrieved with the outcome of the aforesaid exercise, it shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedies under law.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MARCH 3, 2025/cl