



\$~8 & 9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 311/2023**

PRIYA NARAYANAN & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Arvind Kumar Gupta,
Ms. Saakshi Mehndiratta
& Mr. Ishan Parashar,
Advs.

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam,
APP for the State.
SI Reena, PS Dwarka
North.

+ **CRL.REV.P. 322/2023**

DELHI PUBLIC SCHOOL DWARKAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Arvind Kumar Gupta,
Ms. Saakshi Mehndiratta
& Mr. Ishan Parashar,
Advs.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam,
APP for the State.
SI Reena, PS Dwarka
North

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **06.12.2025**

CRL.M.A. 30794/2025 (corrections/modifications of order dated 10.10.2025) in disposed of case in CRL.REV.P. 311/2023 & CRL.M.A. 30838/2025 (corrections/modifications of order dated 10.10.2025) in disposed of case in CRL.REV.P. 322/2023



1. It is pointed out that inadvertent error has crept in the judgment dated 10.10.2025.
2. The order which was impugned in the petitions was one by way of which the learned Trial Court had taken cognizance and issued summons to the petitioners (in CRL.REV.P. 311/2023), however, the judgment dated 10.10.2025 mistakenly records the same to be an order by way of which charge was framed.
3. By judgment dated 10.10.2025, this Court had come to the conclusion that the learned Trial Court had erred in framing charges.
4. Although none appears for the complainant, considering that the present applications have been filed by the petitioners themselves, whose petitions have been allowed by the said judgment, no prejudice would be caused to any of the parties if the order is recalled.
5. In view of the above, the judgment dated 10.10.2025 is recalled.
6. Both the applications stand disposed of.
CRL.REV.P. 311/2023;
CRL.REV.P. 322/2023 & CRL. M.A.8109/2023
7. List the matter for arguments on 18.12.2025.
8. A copy of this order be placed in both the matters.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 6, 2025
“SK”