



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.A. 815/2004 & CRL.M.A. 8913/2004**
JAGDISH KHANDUJA & ANR.Appellants
Through: Appellant No. 1 & 2-in-person.

versus

STATERespondent
Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP with Mr.
Naveen, Mr. Siddhanth Goyal and Mr.
Arsalan Naik, Advs. for State.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

%

27.11.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present appeal has been filed by the Appellants challenging the Impugned Judgement and Order on Sentence both dated 11th August, 2004, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, New Delhi.
3. Both the Appellants are present in person and have submitted that they seek to withdraw the present appeal, as they do not wish to challenge the Impugned Judgment and Order of sentence dated 11th August, 2004.
4. Perusal of the record shows that by the Impugned Judgment and Order on Sentence dated 11th August, 2004, both the Appellants were convicted under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of IPC. *Vide* the Impugned Order on Sentence, both the Appellants were sentenced to a fine of Rs. 500/- each under Section 323 IPC, in default to undergo Simple Imprisonment for 01 month. Further, they were sentenced to a fine of Rs. 1000/- each under Section 324 IPC, in



default to undergo Simple Imprisonment for 02 months.

5. The Appellants further submit that the fines imposed upon them have already been deposited.

6. The statements of both the Appellants are taken on record.

7. In view of the submissions made by the Appellants, who are present in person, the present appeal is accordingly disposed of as withdrawn. The Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

NOVEMBER 27, 2025/sds/isk