



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 870/2025**

MR. SOHIL (IN J.C.)

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. M Hasibuddin, Advocate and
petitioner-in-person (through
VC).

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for State along
with SI Banwari Lal, PS: Tigri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER
09.04.2025

%

1. By way of the present application, the applicant is seeking grant of regular bail, in case arising out of FIR No. 245/2024, registered at Police Station Tigri, Delhi for the offences punishable under Section 307/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*').
2. Issue notice. Mr. Manoj Pant, learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
3. Brief facts of the case are that a PCR call (vide DD no. 64-A) was received on 26.05.2024 at Police Station Tigri, Delhi, wherein it was revealed that some boys had been quarrelling with each other and incident of stabbing had also taken place. The informant/caller further informed that an incident of murder had also taken place at the same spot, a few days back. Thereafter, the police officials had reached the place of incident i.e., Sangam



Vihar, Delhi wherein it was revealed that the injured, Lalit Kumar, had already been taken to Batra Hospital by his brother, namely, Tushar Shahu. A mobile phone was recovered from the place of incident and blood stains were found. Eventually, the present FIR was registered.

4. The Status Report filed by the State is not on record, however, a copy of the same has been handed over to this Court today.

5. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant argues that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case and is in judicial custody for about eleven months and there is no previous involvement of the present accused. It is also stated that the father of the victim has already been partly examined before the learned Trial Court and it will take time to examine all the witnesses. Therefore, it is prayed that the accused/applicant be granted regular bail.

6. The learned APP appearing on behalf of the State, on the other hand, argues that the injuries in this case are grievous in nature. However, it is not disputed that there is no previous involvement of the present accused/applicant. It is also not disputed that the applicant is about 19 years of age.

7. This Court has heard arguments addressed by the learned counsel for both the parties and has perused the material on record.

8. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the fact that the applicant has been in judicial custody for about eleven months, and is only about 19 years of age, and also that the trial will take some time to conclude and there is no previous involvement of the present applicant in any other criminal offence, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.15,000/- with one



surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Successor Court/Link Court/Duty Judge concerned on the following terms and conditions:

- i) The applicant shall surrender his passport and not leave the country without prior permission of the concerned Court.
 - ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make an attempt to influence the witnesses, or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
 - iii) The applicant shall share his mobile number with the concerned IO/SHO, and shall keep the same switch on/active at all times.
 - iv) In case of change of residential address/contact details, the applicant shall promptly inform the same to the concerned Court.
 - v) The applicant shall appear regularly before the learned Trial Court.
9. Accordingly, the present application stands disposed of.
10. Nothing expressed hereinabove shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J
APRIL 09, 2025/vc

Click here to check corrigendum, if any