



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 753/2004**

RAGHUVVEER SINGH & ORS.

.....Appellants

Through: Mr. Syed Shoeb, Adv. with
Appellants-in-person.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP for State
with Mr. Sunil Singh Rawat, Ms.
Meenakshi Rawat, Mr. Arsalan Naik,
Mr. Siddharth Goyal and Ms. Swati
Pandit, Advs. with IO/SI Amit
Bhardwaj, P.S. Najafgarh.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

%

09.12.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. Learned counsel for the Appellants, on instructions of the Appellants, submits that they do not want to pursue the present appeal as they have been released on probation and their probation period is already over. It is prayed that the appeal be disposed of as withdrawn.
3. A perusal of the record shows that *vide* the impugned Judgment dated 19th July, 2004, the appellants were convicted under Sections 341/324/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and *vide* the Impugned Order-on-Sentence dated 27th July, 2004, all the appellants were released on probation of good conduct for a period of one (01) year.



4. Keeping in view the submissions made by the learned counsel for the Appellants, the present Appeal stands disposed of as withdrawn.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

DECEMBER 9, 2025/sds/ik