



\$~70 to 83

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
(70)+ W.P.(C) 10476/2020 & CM APPL. 34085/2020, CM APPL.
26823/2021, CM APPL. 33209/2021

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Mukul Singh, CGSC with
Ms. Ira Singh, Adv.
Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Adv.

versus

S. S. PANDIAN AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.
Akshay, Adv.

(71)+ W.P.(C) 1244/2021 & CM APPL. 3471/2021, CM APPL.
3472/2021

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Adv.

versus

KULVINDER SINGH KANG AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.
Akshay, Adv.
Dr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Adv.

(72)+ W.P.(C) 1726/2021 & CM APPL. 4981/2021, CM APPL.
10558/2021

UNION OF INDIA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Adv.

versus

R.K. NAGPAL

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.
Akshay, Adv.
Mr. Promod Mehta, Adv.

(73)+ W.P.(C) 2187/2021 & CM APPL. 6366/2021, CM APPL.
6367/2021

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Adv.



versus
SUNITA AND ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.
Akshay, Advs.
Mr. Promod Mehta, Adv.

(74)+ W.P.(C) 2302/2024 & CM APPL. 9544/2024
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.Petitioners
Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Advs.

versus
DILIP KUMAR SINGH MAYENGBAMRespondent
Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.
Akshay, Advs.
Mr. Mukesh Pandey, Mr.
Pradyumn Singh, Mr. Anand
Kumar Shukla, Mr. Sawan
Kumar Shukla, Mr. Yash
Baraliya, Advs.

**(75)+ W.P.(C) 2544/2021 & CM APPL. 7496/2021, CM APPL.
7497/2021**
UNION OF INDIA AND ORSPetitioners
Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Advs.

versus
KUMUD MALIK AND ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.
Akshay, Advs.

**(76)+ W.P.(C) 2743/2021 & CM APPL. 8168/2021, CM APPL.
8169/2021**
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.Petitioners
Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Advs.

versus
GUNJAN BHATNAGAR AND ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.



Akshay, Advs.

(77)+ W.P.(C) 146/2022 & CM APPL. 377/2022, CM APPL. 7457/2022

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Advs.

versus

KARUNA SHANKAR DUBEY AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.
Akshay, Advs.

(78)+ W.P.(C) 2088/2022 & CM APPL. 5994/2022, CM APPL. 8618/2022

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Advs.

versus

AUTEE GOPAL SHANKARRAO AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.
Akshay, Advs.

(79)+ W.P.(C) 2535/2022 & CM APPL. 7252/2022

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Advs.

versus

SUNIL GOPALRAO SHINKHEDE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.
Akshay, Advs.

(80)+ W.P.(C) 2876/2022 & CM APPL. 8317/2022, CM APPL. 8681/2022

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Advs.

versus

AMLAN JYOTI MAZUMDAR AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.



Akshay, Advs.

(81)+ W.P.(C) 3891/2022 & CM APPL. 11574/2022

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.Petitioners

Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Advs.

versus

DR. RAJYASHREE BANERJEE AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.
Akshay, Advs.

(82)+ W.P.(C) 13550/2022 & CM APPL. 41295/2022, CM APPL. 41296/2022

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.Petitioners

Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Advs.

versus

MOHAN SINGHRespondent

Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.
Akshay, Advs.

(83)+ W.P.(C) 8002/2023 & CM APPL. 30777/2023

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.Petitioners

Through: Ms. Madhusmita Bora and Mr.
Bijay Kumar Pradhan, Advs.

versus

KAKARAPARTY SATYANARAYANA MURTY AND ORS.

....Respondents

Through: Mr. G. Natarajan and Mr.
Akshay, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

% **12.11.2025**

1. These petitions have been filed by the petitioners, challenging the Orders passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the 'Tribunal'), whereby the learned Tribunal, placing reliance on the order passed by



the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, in O.A. No. 1067/2017, titled ***Tarsem Nath Kaushal & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors.***, disposed of the Original Applications filed by the respondents herein, with a further direction that the retiral dues already released to the respondents shall be subject to adjudication by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, wherein a challenge against the said order is pending.

2. We are informed that the challenge to the aforesaid order of the Chandigarh Bench of the learned Tribunal has been dismissed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana *vide* its Judgment titled ***The Director General, All India Radio & Ors. v. Tarsem Nath Kaushal & Ors.***, 2025:PHHC:060491-DB.

3. Though the learned counsel for the petitioners sought to distinguish the said Judgment and re-argue the matter. We find no reason to differ with the findings of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana.

4. The petitions, along with the pending applications, are accordingly dismissed.

5. There shall be no orders as to costs.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MADHU JAIN, J

NOVEMBER 12, 2025/ys/k/DG