



2025:DHC:7848-DB



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3983/2022**

SUNIL KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Niraj Jha, Adv.

versus

THE DIRECTOR GENERAL- S.S.B & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Farman Ali, SPC with Ms.
Usha Jamnal, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA

JUDGMENT (ORAL)

%

04.09.2025

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

CM APPL. 55920/2025 (for modification)

1. This application seeks correction of certain typographical errors in the judgment dated 12 August 2025, whereby we have disposed of WP(C) 3983/2022.

2. It is pointed out that the reference to the matrimonial dispute between the parties, as shown in paragraphs 6, 12 and 14 of the judgment should read as HMA 747/2013 instead of HMA 767/2013.

3. Mr. Farman Ali, learned SPC for the respondents has acknowledged this fact.



2025:DHC:7848-DB



4. Accordingly, the paragraphs 6, 12 and 14 of the judgment would read thus:

“6. The petitioner married one Sunita Devi on 23 November 2007. The matrimonial relationship between them soured. The petitioner filed Misc (Civil) HMA No.747/2013 under Section 13(1) (ia) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955¹ for divorce, before the Family Court, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.

12. Following this, as a result, the divorce petition Misc (Civil) HMA No.747/2013 was resuscitated. By judgment dated 7 March 2018, the learned Family Court dismissed the divorce petition of the petitioner against Sunita Devi.

14. The judgment and decree dated 24 June 2017 of the learned Family Court, dismissing Misc (Civil) HMA No.747/2013 was challenged by the petitioner before the High Court of Rajasthan by way of CMA 2343/2018.”

5. This order shall be treated as a corrigendum to the judgment dated 12 August 2025.

6. The application is allowed accordingly.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

SEPTEMBER 4, 2025/ng

¹ “HMA”, hereinafter