



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 296/2025**

POONAM & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Ms. Suraj Gupta, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Arunima Dwivedi, CGSC
with Ms. Swati Jhunhunwala,
Mr. Aakash Pathak, Ms. Pinky
Pawar & Ms. Kritika Sharma,
Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

% **ORDER**
27.02.2025

CM APPL. 11788/2025 (EXMP.)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 296/2025

3. The petitioner is seeking initiation of the contempt proceedings against the respondents for the alleged wilful disobedience of the directions of this Court contained in the order dated 10.10.2018 in W.P.(C) No.12047/2016 as well as W.P.(C) No.3323/2016.
4. Learned counsel for the respondents is present on advance notice.
5. It would be expedient to reproduce the directions passed by this Court:

“10. We are, therefore, of the opinion that it would not be appropriate for the respondents to declare the final result on the basis of the third re-evaluation as is being prayed for by learned



counsel for the petitioner. Instead, it is deemed appropriate to dispose of the present petitions with directions issued to the respondents to conduct a fresh recruitment for the post of Inspector (Hindi Translator) in the ITBP in a time bound manner as much time has already been lost in the process. The entire process shall be concluded by the respondents within four months from today including declaration of the results. The fresh process shall be supervised by a senior ranking officer of the ITBP to ensure that there are no further errors, omissions, etc., in the process. It is also directed that as a one time measure, all the candidates who had participated in the subject examination earlier, would be treated as eligible to participate in the proposed examination, even if they have become overage as, in our opinion, they cannot be blamed in such a situation since the entire fault lies at the door of the respondents.”

6. The grievance of the petitioner is that in defiance to the aforesaid order, a notification has been issued whereby the number of vacancies has been reduced.

7. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that a corrigendum has since been issued, which is likely to be published in a few days to the effect that the vacancies for the requisite posts have been brought up in terms of the previous directions of this Court. It is stated that the same would be uploaded on the ITBP (Indo Tibetan Border Police) recruitment website in the next few days.

8. Accordingly, nothing survives in this petition. The same is disposed of as satisfied.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

FEBRUARY 27, 2025

Ch/Ss