



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3479/2023**

JYOTSNA MISHRA AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. R.S. Mishra, Mr. Anand Mishra
and Mr. Mohd. Umar Saifi, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Anjana Gosain and Ms. Akansha
Choudhary, Advs. for R-2 to R-11

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

ORDER

06.11.2025

%

1. This petition is filed seeking directions to the respondents (A.A.I) to make payment of the medical reimbursement with the annual ceiling on self-certification basis to be paid on monthly basis to the petitioners (husband and wife) independently when they are eligible for medical reimbursement.
2. The bone of contention as projected by the learned counsels for the parties was that whether the husband and wife both being employees of the respondent are entitled to medical reimbursement independently or not.
3. Learned counsels for the petitioner submits that the issue stands decided by the decision of the Division Bench of the Kerala High Court on 20.06.2017 passed in W.A. No. 1225/2017 titled as *S. Venugopal and Ors. Vs. Airport Authority of India and Ors.* It is further submitted that the SLP filed by the A.A.I was dismissed on 16.04.2019.



4. Learned counsel for the respondent is not in a position to dispute the submissions made. At this stage, the learned counsel for the respondent submits that the matter has already been referred to the higher authorities.
5. Considering that the issue in controversy has been decided against A.A.I and has attained finality with the dismissal of the SLP, this writ petition is disposed of with the direction that A.A.I shall implement the decision of the Division Bench of the Kerala High Court in W.A. No. 1225/2017 (supra) provided there are no impediments in doing so. In the eventuality of an impediment, the respondent shall pass a speaking order and communicate it to the petitioner.
6. In case the decision is implemented, the pending claims of the petitioner shall be dealt after verification of the claims, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within two months from the date of the decision.
7. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. It is clarified that the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievances if any.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J

NOVEMBER 6, 2025/Pa