



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 1363/2025**
SH ASHOK KUMAR KUKREJA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Shikhar Srivastava, Advocate
with Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State.
Mr. Piyush Wadhwa, Advocate for
R-2.

37

+ **CRL.M.C. 1364/2025**
SH RANJEET SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Piyush Wadhwa, Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State.
Mr. Shikhar Srivastava and Mr.
Bharat Sareen Advocates with
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **13.08.2025**

1. The petitioners *vide* the present petitions under Section 528 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seek quashing of cross FIRs No. 194/2024 and 58/2024 registered under Sections 379/341/506/34 IPC & Section 420/34 IPC respectively, registered at P.S. Kalkaji, New Delhi and all proceeding emanating therefrom in view of the Settlement arrived at between the parties.



2. Issue notice. Learned APP accepts Notice on behalf of the State.
3. A request for quashing of the FIR has been made on account of the Settlement *inter se* the parties. It is submitted that the Complainant was a tenant and had some fight with the Petitioners who are the owner/landlord of the same property. It is stated that the parties have amicably settled all their disputes. The parties have entered into a Settlement dated 03.03.2024 and have amicably settled all their disputes. The parties endorse the Settlement and shall remain bound by the terms of Settlement.
4. The parties are present before this Court in person today, and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned.
5. Today, the complainants who are present in Court state that they have no objection if the FIR is quashed.
6. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a neighbourhood matter it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIRs in question.
7. Accordingly cross FIRs No. 194/2024 and 58/2024 registered under Sections 379/341/506/34 IPC & Section 420/34 IPC respectively, at P.S. Kalkaji, Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.
8. Both the petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

AUGUST 13, 2025/va