



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1352/2025**

RAMRATI & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar and Mr. Aviram,
Advs. along with petitioners in person

versus

**THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI
AND ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with IO Rajesh Kr., PS Rajender Nagar
Complainant in person

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL**

ORDER
06.08.2025

%

CRL.M.A. 5965/2025

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 1352/2025

3. The present petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") (Earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973) has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR 123/2019 registered at Police Station Karol Bagh for offences punishable under Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (hereinafter "DP Act").



4. The brief facts of the case are that the Roka Ceremony between petitioner no. 3 and the daughter of respondent no.2 took place on 03.11.2018 according to Hindu rites and ceremonies and the date of marriage was decided and fixed for 19.04.2019 but the marriage was not solemnized.
5. In the engagement ceremony, the family of the respondent no. 2 gave many items and cash, but the engagement was broken due to familial reasons.
6. Despite efforts at reconciliation, both the parties could not settle their differences pursuant to which respondent no. 2 got FIR no. 123/2019 registered.
7. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioners. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 and her daughter have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.
8. Settlement deed dated 23.01.2024 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-2. Qua this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR 123/2019 registered at Police Station Karol Bagh against the petitioners.
9. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the Settlement deed.
10. Learned APP submitted that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
11. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.



12. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel Mr. Raj Kumar and Investigating Officer, Police Station Karol Bagh. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by the Investigating Officer.

13. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

14. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

15. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR 123/2019 registered at Police Station Karol Bagh for offences punishable under Sections 3 and 4 of the DP Act, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

16. The petition along with pending applications, if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

AUGUST 6, 2025
gs/av