



\$~91

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1311/2025**

SACHIN DAGAR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Vikrant Malik, Adv. with
petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State.
SI Gaurav, P.S. Jaffarpur Kalan, Distt,
Dwarka.
Mr. Rohan Kumar & Mr. Sushant,
Adv. for with respondent no.2 and 3
in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

09.07.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CRL.M.A. 5823/2025(Exemption)

2. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of accordingly.

CRL.M.C. 1311/2025

3. The present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. seeks quashing of the FIR No. 144/2024, under Sections 110/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNS') registered at P.S. Jaffarpur Kalan.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the present FIR was registered at the instance of respondent no. 2 on account of a



minor dispute between the parties. It is submitted that as a result of the said dispute, a cross-FIR, *i.e.*, FIR No. 145/2024, under Sections 110/3(5) of the BNS, was registered at PS Jaffarpur Kalan, at the instance of petitioner no. 1 herein.

5. It is further submitted that during the pendency of the aforesaid proceedings, parties have entered into a Memorandum of Understanding/Settlement deed dated 10.02.2025, pursuant to which parties have no objection to quashing of the cross FIRs. The cross FIR, *i.e.*, FIR No. 145/2024, under Sections 110/3(5) of the BNS which is the subject matter of **CRL.M.C. 1256/2025** has been filed by respondent no. 2.

6. Petitioners and respondent no. 2 and 3 are present before the Court and have been duly identified by their respective counsel, as well as the Investigating Officer, SI Gaurav, P.S. Jaffarpur Kalan, Distt, Dwarka.

7. The respondent No.2 and 3 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioners and they have no objection if the FIR is quashed. They further state that all the terms of the agreement have been complied with.

8. In **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”



9. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 144/2024, under Sections 110/3(5) of the BNS, registered at P.S. Jaffarpur Kalan.

10. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 144/2024, under Sections 110/3(5) of the BNS, registered at P.S. Jaffarpur Kalan. is hereby quashed.

11. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

12. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

JULY 9, 2025/nk/sc