



\$~41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 45/2021**

SEEMA MEENA

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

RAMRAJ MEENA

.....Respondent

Through: Appearance not given.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

24.03.2025

%

1. No appearance on behalf of the Petitioner was noted in orders dated 2nd November, 2023; 28th March, 2024; 4th July, 2024 and 13th November, 2024. The position remains the same today.

2. Counsel for the Respondent has apprised the Court that the instant petition assails order dated 9th November, 2020 declining maintenance to the Petitioner. He further apprises the Court that the underlying complaint under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973¹ itself has been dismissed in default way back on 13th May, 2022. The photocopy of the certified copy of the said order reads as follows:

“MT No.160/19

Seema Meena Vs. Ramraj Meena

13.05.2022

At 1:10 pm.

¹ “CrPC”



*Present: None for petitioner despite repeated calls.
Mohd. Danish, proxy counsel for respondent.*

Be awaited for the petitioner at 2:00 pm.

(SHALINDER KAUR)
Principal Judge (IIQs): Family Court
South-West District, Dwarka Court
Delhi/13.05.2022

At 2:20 pm.

*Present: None for petitioner.
Mohd. Danish, proxy counsel for respondent.*

*None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner despite repeated calls since morning. On the last date of hearing also none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner. List of witnesses and evidence affidavit also not filed. Hence, the present petition stands dismissed in default.
File be consigned to record room.*

(SHALINDER KAUR)
Principal Judge (IIQs): Family Court
South-West District, Dwarka Court
Delhi/13.05.2022"

3. In light of the foregoing, since the underlying complaint itself has been dismissed and in the instant proceedings, there has been no appearance on behalf of the Petitioner for several dates, the present petition is dismissed in default and for non-prosecution.
4. Disposed of.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

MARCH 24, 2025
nk