



\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1178/2025**

INDERJIT SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.P. Singh, Mr. Harmeet Singh,
Ms. Jasveen Kaur, Advs.

versus

THE STATE(NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP
SI Vikrant, PS Kalkaji

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH**

ORDER
11.03.2025

%

CRL.M.A. 5225/2025

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 1178/2025

1. This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No. 135/2018, dated 20.04.2018, under Sections 457/354/354B/506/509 of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Kalkaji and consequential proceedings emanating therefrom.

2. As per the FIR, the petitioner trespassed into the house of the complainant/respondent No. 2 and misbehaved with her and her family. Hence, the FIR came to be registered.



3. During the pendency of proceedings, the parties have arrived at a settlement by executing a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 13.02.2025, whereby the complainant has agreed to cooperate in quashing of the FIR and has settled all her disputes. The statement of the parties has also been recorded before the learned Joint Registrar.
4. Additionally, the complainant has also filed a no objection affidavit.
5. I am satisfied that the settlement is legal and is in accordance with law.
6. Both parties state that they have entered into the aforesaid settlement out of their own free will, volition and without any threat, force, undue influence or coercion.
7. Since the parties have arrived at a settlement and no disputes are pending, I am convinced that quashing of such proceedings on account of compromise would bring about peace and would secure ends of justice. The Court does not see any fruitful purpose if criminal proceedings are permitted to be prosecuted any further. It is a fit case for quashing. In this view of the matter, there is no reason to continue the proceedings.
8. However, due to the acts of the petitioner, the valuable time of the police as well as judicial time has been consumed, which could have been better utilised, therefore the petitioner must pay some costs.
9. In this view of the matter, FIR No. 135/2018, dated 20.04.2018, under Sections 457/354/354B/506/509 of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Kalkaji and consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to the petitioner paying a sum of Rs. 15,000/- as costs with Delhi High Court Bar Association within 4 weeks from today.
10. The proof of payment of costs shall be placed on record within 5



weeks from today, failing which, the file will be put before the Court.

11. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

JASMEET SINGH, J

MARCH 11, 2025/sp

Click here to check corrigendum, if any