



\$~93

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 251/2025**
RAM NIWAS JAT

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankur Chhibber and Mr.
Anshuman Mehrotra, Advocates.

versus

SHRI GOVIND MOHAN AND ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Nirvirkar Verma, Senior Panel
Counsel with Ms. Laavanya Kaushik,
G.P. and Ms. Hemendra,
Commandant, BSF.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

18.02.2025

%

1. The Petitioner seeks initiation of contempt proceedings for wilful disobedience of the specific directions contained in order dated 30.09.2024 passed by learned Division Bench of this Court in W.P.(C) 5880/2019.
2. The relevant part of the above said order reads as under:-

“3. Today, the learned counsel for the respondents submits, on instructions, that the petitioner shall be reinstated in service with all consequential benefits, within a period of four weeks from today. He further informs the Court that on the plea of the petitioner that he had not signed the Plea of Guilt, the Director General has also directed that the signatures be sent to the Central Forensic Science Laboratory (in short, 'CFSL') for its report.

4. We expect that on receipt of the report from the CFSL, appropriate action shall be taken thereon.

5. In view of the above developments, the Impugned Orders dated 30.07.2018 and 05.02.2019 are hereby quashed. The respondents shall reinstate the petitioner with full consequential benefits within a period of four weeks from today. The respondents shall also take appropriate action based on the report received from the CFSL.”

CONT.CAS(C) 251/2025

1



3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner has though been reinstated but he has yet not been provided the consequential benefits including arrears of salary and seniority etc.
4. Learned counsel for respondent appears on advance notice and submits that the matter is under active consideration and since the service book of the petitioner had been sent to CFSL, there was some delay in releasing the arrears.
5. However, learned counsel for respondent, on instructions, submits that all such consequential benefits shall be passed to the petitioner within a period of six weeks from today.
6. Such statement and assurance is taken on record.
7. In view of the above, learned counsel for petitioner, at the moment, does not press the contempt petition. He, however, seeks liberty to approach this Court again and to revive the present contempt petition, in case, nothing is done within the time as undertaken above.
8. Petition is, accordingly, disposed of as not pressed.
9. Liberty, as prayed, is granted.

MANOJ JAIN, J

FEBRUARY 18, 2025/ss