



\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 576/2025**

KULDEEP

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akash Gahlot, Adv. along with
petitioner in person

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC for State with
SI Kirandeep, PS K. M. Pur with Mr. Kshitiz
Garg, Mr. Ashvini Kumar, Ms. Chavi Lazarus,
Mr. Nitish Dhawan and Ms. Sanskriti Nimbekar,
Advs.

Mr. Rohit Lohia, Adv. along with
respondent/complainant in person (Through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

22.09.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR no. 438/2024 registered at Police Station K. M. Pur, Delhi for offences punishable under Section 354D of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner engaged in persistent stalking and harassment of the complainant. The petitioner used to be the



complainant's landlord. Despite the complainant shifting out of the tenanted premises with her family, the petitioner continued to engage in such behaviour.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Memorandum of Understanding dated 01.02.2025 (hereinafter "MOU") is on record and has been annexed to the petition. Through this MOU, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 438/2024 registered at Police Station K. M. Pur, Delhi against the petitioner.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the MOU.

6. Learned ASC for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station K. M. Pur, Delhi. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.



10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303***, FIR no. 438/2024 registered at Police Station K. M. Pur, Delhi for offences punishable under Section 354D of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

12. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 22, 2025

gs/av