



\$~105

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 333/2025**

TELECOMMUNICATIONS CONSULTANTS INDIA LTD (TCIL)

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sameer Kumar, Advocate.

versus

M/S SHRING CONSTRUCTIONS COMPANY PVT LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sajan Narain, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

% **10.10.2025**

1. It appears that since the present respondent/claimant did not pay the fees of the arbitrator, the arbitration proceedings were adjourned *sine die*, so the petitioner/non-claimant filed the present petition, contending that the arbitral tribunal should have terminated the proceedings instead of adjourning the same endlessly.
2. Learned counsel for petitioner/non-claimant has produced before me a copy of order passed today itself by the learned Arbitrator according to which the present respondent/claimant shall move appropriate proceedings before the concerned Bench of this court for extension of mandate.
3. That being so, learned counsel for petitioner/non-claimant on instructions seeks permission to withdraw this petition with liberty to raise



the reliefs sought in prayer clauses (b) to (d) of this petition at appropriate stage.

4. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

OCTOBER 10, 2025/ry