



\$~107

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 243/2025 & CM APPL. 9602/2025**
RITIKA JAINPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ashish Jain, Advocate (Through
VC)

versus

PARETOSH JAINRespondent
Through: Mr. Sunil Mittal, Senior Advocate
with Ms. Muskaan Deswal, Advocate
(Through VC)

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
17.02.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for petitioner has, at the very outset, submitted that nothing survives in the present petition.
2. Learned counsel for petitioner, in all fairness, informs that though the payment was made through online banking channel on 16.01.2025, petitioner somehow could not take note of the aforesaid aspect.
3. Since the grievance of the petitioner has already been taken care of and the cost has already been duly paid, nothing survives in the present petition and petitioner, accordingly, seeks to withdraw the same.
4. Petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

MANOJ JAIN, J

FEBRUARY 17, 2025/dr