



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 534/2025 & CRL.M.A. 4819/2025**

SH KULDEEP SINGH & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr.Hansleen Singh Sodhi,
Adv. with both the
petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATES OF NCT OF DELHI
& ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari,
ASC for the State with Mr.
Alok Sharma, Adv. with
SI Jay Prakash, PS Subzi
Mandi, Delhi.
Mr. Ranjan Kumar, Adv.
for R-2 with R-2 in
person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER
14.10.2025

%

1. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 154/2018 dated 31.05.2018, registered at Police Station Subzi Mandi, for offences under Sections 406/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC'), including all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. It is alleged that the complainant/ Respondent No.2 was introduced to Petitioner No.1 through his friend and Petitioner No.1 represented himself as an expert in transport business. On being convinced by Petitioner No. 1, the complainant purchased

W.P.(CRL) 534/2025

Page 1 of 3



three trucks, whereafter, the complainant entered into a written agreement with Petitioner No. 2, who is the son of Petitioner No. 1, and gave the keys of the trucks to the petitioners. The petitioners kept paying installments for six to even months as per the agreement, however, then stopped paying the instalments entirely after some time. After much hassle, one truck was returned to Respondent No. 2. On 25.04.2018, when Respondent No. 2 asked Petitioner No. 1 about the trucks, he got angry and threatened Respondent No.2 with dire consequences.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegations are in relation to a commercial dispute and the parties have amicably resolved the matter. He submits that the chargesheet is yet to be filed in the present case.

4. The present petition has been filed on the ground that the parties have amicably settled all their disputes before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi on 19.02.2024, on their own free will, without any coercion, pressure, undue influence, force, misrepresentation or mistake.

5. In terms of the settlement, out of the total settlement amount of ₹7,50,000/-, an amount of ₹5,50,000/- already stands paid to Respondent No. 2 and the balance settlement amount of ₹2,00,000/- has been handed over to Respondent No. 2 in Court today by way of Demand Draft No. 778330 dated 31.02.2025, drawn on Kotak Mahindra Bank.

6. The parties are present in person in Court and have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer.

7. On being asked, Respondent No.2 states that he does not wish to pursue any proceedings arising out of the present FIR



and has no objection if the same are quashed.

8. Offences under Sections 406/506 of the IPC are compoundable in nature.

9. This Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by relegating the parties to the learned Trial Court for filing an application to compound the offences.

10. Keeping in view the nature of dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of Court when the offence is compoundable in nature.

11. In view of the above, FIR No. 154/2018 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

12. The present petition is allowed in aforesaid terms. Pending application also stands disposed of.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

OCTOBER 14, 2025

'KDK'