



\$~59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2690/2023
ASHWANI FABRIC PVT LTDPetitioner
Through: Mr. Sunil Dutt Dixit, Ms. G. Dixit,
Advocates.
versus
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE (CENTRAL)
AND ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, Mr. R. Rehman,
Advocates for GNCTD.
Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC for
DDA.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **17.10.2025**

W.P.(C) 2690/2023 & CM APPL. 65914/2025 (for impleadment of DDA)

1. The petitioner has filed CM APPL. 65914/2025 for impleadment of Delhi Development Authority ["DDA"] as a party to the writ petition, in view of the fact that the land in question has been urbanized in terms of a notification dated 16.06.2017.
2. Mr. Tushar Sannu, learned counsel for the original respondents, and Ms. Shobana Takiar, learned Standing Counsel for DDA, who appears on advance notice, submit that they have no objection to the impleadment of DDA. DDA is, therefore, impleaded as respondent No. 5 in the writ petition. The amended memo of parties annexed to the application is taken on record.
3. Upon hearing learned counsel for the parties, it appears that the writ petition can be disposed of on the basis of an order of this Court in



Luv Nagpal & Anr. v. Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Ors. [W.P.(C) 13567/2025, decided on 03.09.2025] [hereinafter, “*Luv Nagpal*”], as the present petition only seeks demarcation of land which the petitioner claims to be the owner in possession.

4. In *Luv Nagpal*, a similar request was made by the petitioner therein in respect of land which had been urbanized pursuant to a notification under Section 507(a) of the Delhi Municipal Corporation Act, 1957. The writ petition was disposed of with the following directions:

“4. The question of whether the revenue authorities or DDA retain jurisdiction to carry out demarcation after urbanisation remains pending before the Full Bench in Original Reference No. 1/2024. However, in order to resolve the petitioners’ grievance while the matter remains pending, Ms. Takiar submits that, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties in Original Reference No.1/2024, DDA will associate itself with the demarcation exercise. Mr. Joshi submits that in all such cases, even if the jurisdiction is with DDA, GNCTD provides necessary support and assistance in carrying out the demarcation proceedings. The demarcation, in any event, is carried out through a third-party agency.

5. Having regard to these submissions, and in order for the exercise of demarcation to be carried out expeditiously, even while the question of jurisdiction remains pending before the Full Bench, the writ petition is disposed of with the direction that DDA and GNCTD may coordinate to carry out the demarcation of the subject land in the presence of the petitioners or their representatives, within a period of four months from today. The expense for the same will be borne by the petitioners.

6. It is made clear that this exercise will be carried out without prejudice to the rights and contentions of DDA and GNCTD before the Full Bench, and also without prejudice to the rights and contentions of any third party.”

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that the same directions may govern the present case also.

6. The petition is, therefore, disposed of with a direction upon the respondents to coordinate in the conduct of the demarcation exercise by a



third-party agency, in the presence of the petitioner or its representative. The expenses for the same will be borne by the petitioner. The exercise be completed within a period of six months from today.

7. The aforesaid direction is without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the respondents on the jurisdictional issue raised above, as also their rights and contentions in Original Reference No. 1/2024 pending before the Full Bench.

8. The writ petition, alongwith pending application, is disposed of.

9. The next date of hearing, i.e. 18.02.2026, stands cancelled.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

OCTOBER 17, 2025

“Bhupi/KA”/