



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1059/2025& CRL.M.A. 4837/2025

BHARAT SHARMA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Karan Sharma, Mr. Mohit Siwach
and Ms. Ishika Jain, Advocates along
with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State with SI Piyush Kumar, P.S. Roop
Nagar.

Mr. Arvind Kr. Shukla, Mr. Sanskar
Krishnan and Mr. Vishal Maurya,
Advocates for R-2 along with R-2 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

30.05.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition filed under Section 528 of the BNSS seeks quashing of FIR No. 164/2020, under Sections 323/354/356/506/509/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Roop Nagar and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the Court of Ms. Gita, learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Central, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present FIR was registered at the instance of respondent no. 2 on account of a parking dispute. It is submitted that the parties are neighbours and with the intervention of



common friends, the parties have settled their dispute *vide* memorandum of understanding cum settlement dated 21.12.2024 and in pursuance of the said settlement, respondent no. 2 has no objection, if the present FIR is quashed.

4. Petitioners and complainant/respondent no. 2 are present before the Court and have been duly identified by their respective counsel, as well as the Investigating Officer, SI Piyush Kumar, P.S. Roop Nagar.

5. The Complainant/respondent No.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioners and she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

6. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned APP for the State has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303, Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceedings.”

8. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 164/2020, under Sections 323/354/356/506/509/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Roop Nagar and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before



the Court of Ms. Gita, learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Central, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi.

9. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 164/2020, under Sections 323/354/356/506/509/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Roop Nagar and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the Court of Ms. Gita, learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Central, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi, is hereby quashed.

10. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

11. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

MAY 30, 2025/bsr/pr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)