



\$~66 & 67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 294/2025 & CM APPL. 8627-8628/2025**

NARENDER KUMAR & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. P.K. Rawal with Mr. Tarun
Agarwal, Mr. Akhil Singh and
Mr. Vasu vibhav Purohit, Advocates.

versus

SURESH KUMAR & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate for
respondent No.2 with respondent No.2
in person.

+ **CM(M) 296/2025 & CM APPL. 8638/2025**

SHRI NARENDER KUMAR & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. P.K. Rawal with Mr. Tarun
Agarwal, Mr. Akhil Singh and
Mr. Vasu vibhav Purohit, Advocates.

versus

SHRI SURESH KUMAR & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate for
respondent No.2 with respondent No.2
in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

07.05.2025

%

1. After hearing arguments for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners/tenants submits that he does not press the present petition. He, however, seeks liberty to refer the precedents and judgments as

CM(M) 294/2025&
CM(M) 296/2025

1



mentioned in the relevant paras of his application moved under Section 151 CPC.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents/landlords appears on advance notice and submits that he would have no objection if, during the course of arguments on application seeking *leave to defend*, the tenants make reference to the abovesaid judgments.

3. The petitions are, accordingly, disposed of as not pressed with liberty to refer to said precedents at the time of making submissions with respect to their application(s) seeking *leave to defend*.

4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of in aforesaid terms.

MANOJ JAIN, J

MAY 7, 2025
st/SS