



\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 510/2025**

NAVEEN DUTTA THROUGH SPECIAL POWER OF

ATTORNEY HOLDER AND FATHER SH. PRAN NATH DUTTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pankaj Kumar Sinha, Mr.
Kanishk Arora and Ms. Muskan
Aggarwal, Advocates

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC (Crl.) for the
State with Mr. Kshitiz Garg, Mr.
Ashvini Kumar, Mr. Rahul Kochar,
Ms. Chavi Lazarus, Advocates with
SI Yashveer Sharma, PS Govind Puri

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

% **13.02.2025**

CRL.M.A. 4558/2025 (Exemption)

1. Exemption is granted, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The Applicant shall file legible and clearer copies of exempted documents, compliant with practice rules, before the next date of hearing.
3. Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 510/2025

4. The present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of



India read with Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023¹ seeks issuance of directions to Respondents No. 1-3, to register an FIR against Respondents No. 4 and 5 and to take necessary actions to prevent the said Respondents from leaving the country.

5. The Petitioner alleges that a complaint against Respondent No. 4 and 5 was made to the police at Govind Puri police station on 6nd October, 2024 followed by further communication on 18th November, 2024. Counsel for the Petitioner states that despite this, no action has been taken regarding the said complaints. Additionally, the Petitioner personally visited the Investigating Officer at the Govind Puri Police Station, but no action has been taken thus far.

6. On the other hand, Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC for the State, apprises the Court that the complaint received at Govind Puri Police Station was transferred to the Kalkaji Police Station, who have conducted enquiry and made certain observations, noted in status report dated 19th December, 2024, and have closed the complaint of the Petitioner. A copy of the status report dated 19th December, 2024 is handed over across the board and taken on record. A copy of the same has also been provided to counsel for Petitioner.

7. In light of the foregoing, counsel for the Petitioner states that they would now like to take appropriate action under the of Section 175 of the BNSS. Accordingly, the Petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the concerned judicial metropolitan magistarte for further action.

8. The petition is disposed of with liberty as aforesaid.

9. It is made clear that the Court has not made any comments on the

¹ “BNSS”



merits of the case. In the event, the Petitioners takes action for registration of the FIR by approaching the concerned magistrate, the same shall be examined on its own merits in accordance with law. All rights and contentions of the partes are left open.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

FEBRUARY 13, 2025

ab/nk