



\$~67 & 68

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAC.APP. 104/2023**

M/S NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. LTD.

.....Appellant

Through: Ms Rakhi Dubey, Ms Arpita
Srivastava, Mr Sandeep Dubey,
Advocates.

versus

MR. RAJENDER SINGH MEENA (INJURED) & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through:

68

+ **MAC.APP. 120/2023**

M/S NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. LTD.

.....Appellant

Through: Ms Rakhi Dubey, Ms Arpita
Srivastava, Mr Sandeep Dubey,
Advocates.

versus

SUNITA DEVI (INJURED) & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

17.04.2025

**CM APPL. 20223/2025 In MAC.APP. 104/2023 & CM APPL.
20222/2025 In MAC.APP. 120/2023 (under Section 151 CPC on behalf of
the Appellant seeking Modification/Clarification of the Judgment dated**



23.01.2025)

1. The above two Applications have been filed on behalf of the Appellant National Insurance Co. Ltd. to seek correction in paragraphs 48 and 49 of the impugned Judgment dated 23.01.2025, wherein it is inadvertently recorded that MAC.APP.120/2023 has been partly allowed, when in fact it has been dismissed. Likewise, MAC.APP.104/2023 has been partly allowed, but has been recorded as allowed. It is, therefore, requested that these typographical errors may be corrected in paragraphs 48 and 49 of the impugned Judgment dated 23.01.2025.

2. **Submissions heard and record perused.**

3. Indeed, the perusal of the entire Judgment dated 23.01.2025 shows that MAC.APP.120/2023 has been dismissed. The necessary rectification be made in paragraph 49. Likewise MAC.APP.104/2023 has been partly allowed, which may be accordingly read. The necessary rectification be made in paragraph 48.

4. It is hereby clarified that the statutory amount deposited by the Insurance Company be also refunded in accordance with law.

5. The Applications stand disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

APRIL 17, 2025/va