



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 288/2018

ASHUTOSH CHOUDHARYPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ankur Chibber, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Pratima N Lakra, CGSC, with
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Mishra, Ms.
Mansi Aggarwal, Adv.
Insp Sanjay Kumar CISF.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIMAL KUMAR YADAV

ORDER

% **15.12.2025**

1. After hearing learned counsel for the Petitioner at length, we found that the matter has not been dealt with properly by the Disciplinary Authority, inasmuch as in spite of the fact that the Inquiry Officer had recorded a finding of guilt, a meager punishment of only stoppage of two annual grade increments was imposed although, the charge related to demand of bribe.
2. We feel that the letter appended as Annexure P-4 and the call transcript warranted much severe punishment/penalty.
3. We therefore, posed a question to Mr. Ankur Chibber, learned counsel for the Petitioner that in case we decide the case on merit, his client may run the risk of enhancement of the punishment in case we ultimately dismiss the writ petition.



4. He request to pass over the matter so as to complete his instructions from his client as to whether he wishes to pursue the writ petition or wants to withdraw the same.
5. Mr. Chibber appeared after a telephonic conversation with the client and on the basis of the instructions/message received from the Petitioner, he sought permission to withdraw the present writ petition.
6. Permission is granted.
7. The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
8. The observation made hereinabove are our *prima-facie* view and the Respondents shall not enable the Respondents to reopen the matter.

DINESH MEHTA, J

VIMAL KUMAR YADAV, J

DECEMBER 15, 2025/ps