



\$~86 to 88

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1672/2025 & CM APPL. 8195/2025**
MS NEHA VIZ AND ORSPetitioners

versus

THE SECRETARY EDUCATION
GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 1675/2025 & CM APPL. 8199/2025**
MS NIKHAT AND ORSPetitioners

versus

THE SECRETARY EDUCATION
GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

+ **W.P.(C) 1683/2025 & CM APPL. 8212/2025**
MS ARCHANA SHARMA AND ORSPetitioners

versus

THE SECRETARY EDUCATION
GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Appearance:- Mr. Kshitiz Mahipal, Advocate for Petitioners in Item Nos. 86 to 88. [M:-9999994372].
Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, SC-GNCTD (Service) with Mr. N.K. Singh, Ms. Laavanya Kaushik, Ms. Aliza Alam, Mr. Mohnish Sehrawat & Mr. Amitoj Chadha, Advocates for Respondents in Item Nos. 86 to 88.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **11.02.2025**

1. The petitioners have filed these writ petitions against the Directorate of Education ["DoE"], Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi ["GNCTD"], with regard to increase in remuneration



of the contractual employees under the Samagra Shiksha Delhi.

2. Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, learned Standing Counsel for DoE, who appears on advance notice, takes a preliminary objection that the disputes are amenable to the jurisdiction of the Central Administrative Tribunal [“the Tribunal”] under the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. She clarifies that the jurisdiction of the Tribunal is attracted even in the case of contractual workers.

3. In view of the aforesaid objection, Mr. Kshitiz Mahipal, learned counsel for the petitioners, seeks permission to withdraw these writ petitions, with liberty to approach the Tribunal.

4. The writ petitions, alongwith the pending applications, are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not entered into the merit of the disputes in these writ petitions.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

FEBRUARY 11, 2025

‘pv/AD’/