



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2304/2023 & CM APPL. 8756/2023 (Stay)**

**A R INFRASTRUCTURES AND PROJECTS PRIVATE
LIMITED**Petitioner

Through: Ms. Kavita Jha, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Vaibhav Kulkarni and Mr.
Himanshu Aggarwal, Advs.

versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Menon, JSC.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHWANT VARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER
27.02.2025

%

1. The instant writ petition had been preferred assailing the reassessment action initiated by the respondent pertaining to Assessment Year ['AY'] 2013-14. We take note of the principal challenge which now remains and pertains to the issue of surviving period.
2. The petitioner, in order to buttress its claim of the proceedings being time barred, has placed for our consideration the following chart:



SUMMARY CHART

A. Key Facts:

S. No	PARTICULARS	DATE	Relevant Pg./ Ann No. of HC appeal
1.	Notice issued under section 148 (old regime)	26.06.2021	Pg. 14 of WP
2.	Letter communicating information pursuant to SC decision in Ashish Agarwal – reply to be filed by 09.06.2022	26.05.2022	55-57/ 'A'
3.	Reply filed by Petitioner	09.06.2022	150-182/ 'I'
4.	Order u/s 148A(d)	22.07.2022	58-62/ 'B'
5.	Notice issued u/s 148	22.07.2022	63-65/ 'C'

B. COMPUTATION OF LIMITATION AS PER DECISION OF UOI VS. RAJEEV BANSAL:

S. No.			SC Paras
1.	Assessment Year	2013-14	
2.	Period of limitation u/s 149 [3 years or 6 years]	6 years	
3.	Original Period of limitation u/s 149	31.03.2020	
4.	Extended period of limitation as per IT Act read with TOLA	30.06.2021	Paras 65-69
5.	Date of original notice u/s 148 - deemed SCN u/s 148A(b)	26.06.2021	
6.	Time surviving from date of issuance of deemed SCN till expiry of period as extended by TOLA [from 23.04.2021 till 30.06.2021]	4 days	Paras 109-113
7.	Extended to 7 days as per proviso to section 149	7 days	
8.	Period of deemed stay to be excluded as per 3 rd proviso to section 149 [Date of Original 148 till date allowed to file reply to assessee]	26.06.2021 to 09.06.2022	Paras 105-107
9.	Last date for issuing notice u/s 148 [i.e., 09.06.2022 + 7 days]	16.06.2022	Para 77
10.	Actual date of issuance of notice u/s 148	22.07.2022	
11.	Notice u/s 148 issued under new regime is beyond the surviving time period		Para 77

3. The said question would have to be necessarily examined by the Jurisdictional Assessing Officer bearing in mind the judgment of the Supreme Court in **Union of India v. Rajeev Bansal** [2024 SCC OnLine SC 2693] as well as of this Court in **Ram Balram Buildhome Pvt. Ltd. v. Income Tax Officer & Anr.** [2025 SCC OnLine Del 481].

4. Dealing with identical issues, we had disposed of a batch of writ petitions by our judgment rendered in **Kanwaljeet Kaur vs. Commissioner of Income Tax** [2025 SCC OnLine Del 605] in the



following terms:

“27. We accordingly dispose of this batch of writ petitions by directing the concerned AOs to evaluate the individual SCNs' under Section 148 of the Act bearing in mind our judgments in *T.K.S. Builders, Abhinav Jindal and Naveen Kumar Gupta*. These decisions have conclusively settled issues pertaining to the accordal of sanction under Section 151 as well as the authority of the jurisdictional AO to commence and undertake reassessment. Those decisions also lay at rest the challenge which the writ petitioners had raised that an AO is bound to adhere to the procedure prescribed by Section 153C in cases emanating from a search.

28. A similar exercise would have to be undertaken to examine the issue of surviving period in respect of each individual noticee under Section 148 and which would necessarily be guided by the judgments of *Rajeev Bansal and Ram Balram*.

29. The concerned AOs shall consequently pass a reasoned and speaking order dealing with the impact of the judgments referred to above upon the impugned reassessment notices and in the manner indicated in paras 27 and 28 of this order. That decision shall thus render a finding on whether the impugned reassessment notices would survive or be liable to be recalled. It shall be open to the writ petitioners to assail any adverse orders that may come to be passed pursuant to the above in accordance with law.”

5. Consequently, and in light of the above, we dispose of the writ petition on terms identical to those provided in paragraphs 27 to 29 of *Kanwaljeet Kaur*.

YASHWANT VARMA, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
FEBRUARY 27, 2025/RW