



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 896/2025

RAKESH KUMAR CHAND

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Gupta and Mr. Vikash
Tomar, Advs. along with petitioner in person

versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for
State with SIU Rakesh Chand and ASI Virender
Kumar

SI Dharmendra Sharma, PS Patel Nagar and ASI
Birender, PS Patel Nagar

Mr. Kishore, Adv. for R-2 along with respondent
no. 2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

23.09.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR no. 320/2020 registered at Police Station Patel Nagar for offences punishable under Sections 323/325/354/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").



2. In the present case, a chargesheet has been filed by the investigating officer before the learned Trial Court and the present proceeding is pending before the learned MM, Tis Hazari Court, Delhi.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.
4. Compromise deed dated 21.01.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-5. *Qua* this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR no. 320/2020 registered at Police Station Patel Nagar against the petitioner.
5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.
6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
8. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Patel Nagar. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.
9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.



10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending

11. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR no. 320/2020 registered at Police Station Patel Nagar for offences punishable under Sections 323/325/354/506 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

12. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025

gs/av