



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 510/2025**

MANISH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prashant Singhal, Adv.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI)

AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
Mr. Md. Zeeshan, Advocate for R-2
with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

09.10.2025

1. First Bail Application under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed by the Applicant Manish for grant of Regular Bail in FIR No.0095/2023, under Sections 376/506 IPC and Section 6 of Prevention of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, registered at Police Station: Mayur Vihar, Delhi.
2. It is submitted that the Applicant is innocent and permanent resident of Village Chilla, Chilla Saroda Khadar, East, Delhi. He is a young boy having clean past antecedents. His Regular Bail Application was dismissed by the learned Trial Court vide Order dated 24.01.2025.
3. It is further submitted that the victim has deposed that she was above 18 years of age on the date of incident and the Complaint was lodged on account of quarrel with the Applicant and not for the allegations made in the present FIR No.0095/2023.
4. The Applicant belongs to a respectable family and is permanent



resident of Delhi. Therefore, there are no chances of him absconding. He ready to face trial and join investigations. Prayer is therefore, made that the Applicant be granted Regular Bail.

5. ***Status Report has been filed on behalf of the State***, wherein details of the investigations and Chargesheet have been detailed. The Bail Application is opposed on the ground that there is not merit in the present case.

Submissions heard and record perused.

6. Statement of the Prosecutrix as PW-2 and her mother PW-3 have already been recorded. Perusal of the statements of the two witnesses show that they had completely failed to support the case of the Prosecution, as they both have consistently deposed that they had a quarrel with the Applicant, who is a relative of the Prosecutrix/Respondent No.2 and in anger, present FIR got registered, though there is FSL Report against the Applicant.

7. However, considering the totality of the circumstances and since the Prosecutrix/Respondent No.2 and her mother have failed to support the case of the prosecution and that the trial is likely to take long, the Petitioner/Applicant is granted Regular Bail, on the following terms and conditions:

- a) The Petitioner/Applicant shall furnish a personal bond of Rs.25,000/- and one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court;
- b) The Petitioner/Applicant shall appear before the Court as and when the matter is taken up for hearing;
- c) The Petitioner/Applicant shall provide his mobile number/



changed mobile number to the IO concerned which shall be kept in working condition at all times;

- d) The Petitioner/Applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not communicate or intimidate the witnesses; and
 - e) In case the Petitioner/Applicant changes his residential address, the same shall be intimated to learned Trial Court and to the concerned I.O.
8. The copy of this Order be communicated to the concerned Jail Superintendent, as well as, to the learned Trial Court.
9. The Bail Application is accordingly disposed of. Pending Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

OCTOBER 9, 2025/R