



\$~72

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 1434/2025

DR RAMESH KISHORILAL GOYAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajiv Kapur, Mr. Akshit Kapur, Mr. D.G. Chauhan & Mr. R.K. Goyal, Advocates with Petitioner in Person.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, SC-GNCTD with Mr. N.K. Singh, Ms. Laavanya Kaushik, Ms. Aliza Alam, Mr. Mohnish Sehrawat & Mr. Amitoj Chadha, Advocates for R-1 & 2.
Mr. Yashvardhan, Ms. Kritika Nagpal, Mr. Gyanendra Shukla & Mr. Pranav Das, Advocates for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

04.02.2025

CM APPL. 6995/2025 (exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 1434/2025

1. The petitioner filed this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution assailing an order dated 17.02.2024 by which he has been removed from the post of Vice Chancellor, Delhi Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research University ["University"].
2. The petitioner was appointed to the post of Vice Chancellor by an



order dated 29.08.2016 issued by the Director (Training and Technical Education), Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi [“GNCTD”]. The order states that the appointment has been made by the Lt. Governor of Delhi. The impugned order has been signed by the Secretary (Training and Technical Education), GNCTD and is stated to be “*under the orders of the Chancellor, DPSRU/Hon’ble Lt. Governor, Delhi*”.

3. As both the appointment and the removal order have been made by GNCTD, I have enquired of Mr. Rajiv Kapur, learned counsel for the petitioner, as to whether the petitioner’s grievances would fall within the jurisdiction of the Central Administrative Tribunal [“Tribunal”] under the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

4. Ms. Laavanya Kaushik, learned counsel for GNCTD, states upon instructions, that the grievances are amenable to the jurisdiction of the Tribunal.

5. In view of the above, Mr. Kapur seeks permission to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to approach the Tribunal.

6. The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as aforesaid.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

FEBRUARY 4, 2025/‘pv’/AL/