



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 34/2022 and CRL.M.A. 1363/2022

BABY NAAZ

.....Appellant

Through: Mr.Siddarth Panda and Mr.Anil
Pandey, Advocates

versus

THE STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahalot, APP for State
with SI Himanshi, P.S. Jama Masjid
Mr. Anil Bhasin and Ms. Shruti
Bhasin Advocates for respondent
No.2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

07.08.2025

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant has since expired.
2. Learned APP for the State also submits that the bailable warrants were issued on the last date of hearing which remained unserved on account of the appellant's demise. The factum of death has also been verified, and a report to this extent has also been placed on record.
3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that even otherwise, the matter was settled before Lok Adalat and respondent No.2 has received the entire amount.
4. In view of the above, the appeal stands abated.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

AUGUST 7, 2025/sn