



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1241/2025

PRESTIGE BUILDCON PVT LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Dayan Krishnan, Sr. Adv., Mr.
Anirudh Bakhru, Mr. Shambhu K.,
Mr. Ayush Puri, Mr. Sumer Singh,
Mr. Shikhar Garg, Mr. Rishabh Basra,
Mr. Sirhan Seth, Mr. Kanav Madnani,
Mr. Shreedhar Kali, Mr. Sultan Jafri
and Mohd. Umar, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vedansh Anand, GP, UOI.
Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Spl. Counsel, Mr.
Manish Jain, Spl Counsel, Mr. Vivek
Gurnani, SC, Mr. Kartik Sabharwal,
Mr. Pranjal Tripathi and Mr. Kanishk
Maurya, Advs. for ED.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

19.02.2025

CM APPL.9410/2025 (for placing on record subsequent facts and for directions)

1. The present application seeks a direction that the learned Adjudicating Authority be permitted to pass an appropriate order in accordance with the timelines stipulated under Section 5(1) Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002.
2. It is clarified that the concerned Adjudicating Authority is not precluded from passing any order within the timeline stipulated under



Section 5(1) PMLA.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner / non-applicant submits that in terms of the liberty granted vide order dated 31.1.2025, reply shall be filed by the petitioner within a period of three days from today. He further submits that if a date of hearing is intimated by the Adjudicating Authority, no adjournment shall be sought by the petitioner. The said statement is taken on record.
4. Let the Adjudicating Authority grant a hearing to the petitioner before passing a final order in the matter. However, given the statutory timeline for completion of the adjudication, it is clarified that the Adjudicating Authority shall be entitled to suitably limit/ confine the hearing.
5. The application stands disposed of in the above terms.

SACHIN DATTA, J

FEBRUARY 19, 2025/cl