



2025:DHC:10198



\$~24 to 27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**% *Date of Decision: 19.11.2025*+ **CM(M) 103/2023 & CM APPL. 3383/2023**

NEELU CHAWLA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aryan Bhardwaj, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Sumedha Dua, Advocate for R2
Mr. S.K. Gandhi and Mr. Aman
Kumar Yadav, Advocates for R5-7

25

+ **CM(M) 104/2023 & CM APPL. 3421/2023**

NEELU CHAWLA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aryan Bhardwaj, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Sumedha Dua, Advocate for R2
Mr. S.K. Gandhi and Mr. Aman
Kumar Yadav, Advocates for R5

26

+ **CM(M) 196/2023 & CM APPL. 6069/2023**

RANJU SAYALL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aryan Bhardwaj, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

CM(M) 103/2023, 104/2023, 196/2023 & 197/2023

Page 1 of 4 pages

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
By: NEETU N NAIR
Signing Date: 19.11.2025
17:25:02GIRISH
KATHPALIADigitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA, o=, ou=, email=girish.kathpalia@delhihi.org, c=IN
Date: 2025.11.19 17:25:02 +05'30'



Through: Ms. Sumedha Dua, Advocate for R2
Mr. S.K. Gandhi and Mr. Aman
Kumar Yadav, Advocates for R5-7

+

CM(M) 197/2023 & CM APPL. 6071/2023

RANJU SAYALL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aryan Bhardwaj, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Sumedha Dua, Advocate for R2
Mr. S.K. Gandhi and Mr. Aman
Kumar Yadav, Advocates for R5

CORAM: JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER (ORAL)

1. Adjournment requested by counsel for petitioners on the ground that grandmother of the arguing counsel Mr. Karan Nagrath has suffered heart attack. Learned counsel for respondents strongly opposed the adjournment request, pointing out that there are multiple advocates appearing on behalf of petitioners and Mr. Karan Nagrath, Advocate is not the arguing counsel. Learned counsel for respondents also contended that the arguing counsel is deliberately avoiding appearance to somehow get these matters adjourned.

2. By way of the orders impugned in these petitions, request of the present petitioners to examine their witnesses through videoconferencing was declined. By way of order dated 08.02.2023, the learned predecessor

CM(M) 103/2023, 104/2023, 196/2023 & 197/2023

Page 2 of 4 pages



5. In view of above discussion, with consent of both sides, the orders impugned in these petitions are set aside and it is directed that the witnesses of the present petitioners may be examined through videoconferencing strictly in accordance with the Delhi High Court Rules for Video Conferencing, 2021 as expeditiously as possible. It is also made clear that in case the costs mentioned above are not paid, learned trial court shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with the law cited above.



2025:DHC:10198



6. Accordingly, all these petitions and the accompanying applications stand disposed of.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401d4889b27a77b265ffffe4af
ec45569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626cacc
a, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI, CID =
7047638, postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5d
15570996b40f80cbd2ee60402c487965f
f801e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2025.11.19 17:23:39 +05'00'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

NOVEMBER 19, 2025/as