



\$~59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 623/2025**

JAGDEEP RANA

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner with his counsel Mr. Pankaj Kapoor, Mr. Nikhil Bahri, Mr. Aniket Arora, Mr. Kanwal Nain Singh and Mr. Sagar Sehrawat, Advs.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajkumar, APP for the State.
R-2 with her counsel Ms. Aarushi Aggarwal and Mr. Deepesh Gauba, Advs. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

12.03.2025

%

1. By way of the instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereafter '*Cr.PC*'), the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR bearing no. 510/2020, registered at Police Station Mukherjee Nagar, North West, Delhi, for the offences punishable under Sections 354/354A/354D/506/509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*').
2. The petitioner is present before this Court and respondent no. 2 appears through video conferencing. They both have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Mukherjee Nagar, Delhi.
3. Brief facts of the present case are that on 13.03.2019, the petitioner



was introduced to respondent no. 2 in a mutual friend's birthday party and few days later on social media, they had become friends. It is stated that through social media, the petitioner had come to know that respondent no. 2 was staying in Hudson Lane and had also tried to meet her and had also tried various ways to attract her. Thereafter, the parties had started casual conversation and respondent No. 2 had got to know that the petitioner is a married family man, having wife and children. Resultantly, respondent no.2 had avoided the petitioner and had blocked his phone number. The petitioner had started contacting respondent no. 2 from another number and had urged respondent no. 2 to talk to him. It is stated that respondent no. 2, being naive, had again started chatting with the petitioner. They both met at the Model town Metro Station, where the petitioner had misbehaved and snatched respondent No. 2's phone and tried to touch her inappropriately. The petitioner had also forced respondent no.2 to get the tattoo of his name and they got into quarrel, however, both got the same tattoo later. Thereafter, the wife of the petitioner had called respondent no. 2's father and had threatened him. On the basis of the aforesaid allegations, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioner.

4. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter and their statements to the said effects have been recorded by the learned Joint Registrar (Judicial) on 31.01.2025.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent no. 2 further states that she



has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

6. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

7. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 510/2020, registered at Police Station Mukherjee Nagar, North West, Delhi, for the offences punishable under Sections 354/354A/354D/506/509 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

8. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

9. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

MARCH 12, 2025/A

SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

Click here to check corrigendum, if any