



\$~42

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 388/2025 & CRL.M.A. 2786/2025**

**SHIVAJI @CHUNNU**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prafulla, Mr. Lakshay Sonker and  
Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocates

versus

**THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for the  
State

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA DHARI SINGH**

**ORDER**

**29.01.2025**

%

1. The instant bail application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (earlier Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973) has been filed on behalf of the petitioner/applicant seeking the following reliefs:

*“a) Grant interim bail to the Applicant/Accused for a period of 27.01.2025 to 02.02.2025 to attend his sister's marriage scheduled for 31.01.2025 in FIR no. 830/2015; and  
b) Pass any other or further order as deemed fit in the interest of justice.”*

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant submitted that the marriage of the applicant's sister is fixed for 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2025 and the applicant being the elder brother, has to perform all the rituals and customs



in the wedding ceremony.

3. In view of the above submission, it is prayed that the applicant may be granted interim bail from 27<sup>th</sup> January, 2025 to 2<sup>nd</sup> February, 2025.

4. *Per Contra*, Mr. Raghuinder Verma, learned APP for the State appearing on advance notice vehemently opposed the instant application and submitted that there are several other family members including brothers of the applicant who may perform the rituals of his sister's marriage. It is further submitted that the learned Trial Court has already granted six hours of parole to the applicant to perform the rituals, if any.

5. It is submitted that the regular bail application of the applicant has already been dismissed by this Court and the trial is about to be concluded before the learned Trial Court.

6. Therefore, it is submitted that there is no cogent reason in the present application for granting the interim bail and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties and perused the material placed on record.

8. This Court has carefully considered the relevant facts for the adjudication of the instant application. The said relevant facts are – *firstly*, there are other family members including the brothers of the applicant for performing the rituals and customs in the marriage of the applicant's sister and *secondly*, in the event that the applicant's presence is nevertheless necessitated in the wedding ceremony, the learned Trial Court *vide* Order dated 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2025 has already granted six hours of parole to the applicant for attending the same.

9. In view of the facts and circumstances discussed above, this Court



does not find any merit in the instant application for granting interim bail to the applicant.

10. Accordingly, the instant application along with pending applications, if any, stands dismissed.

**CHANDRA DHARI SINGH, J**

**JANUARY 29, 2025**  
**gs/st**

*[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)*