



\$~22 & 23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 31/2022 & CRL.M.A. 829/2022 (stay)**

SANDEEP SAHNIPetitioner

Through: Ms. Sakshi Bhardwaj, Advocate.
versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: None.

+ **CRL.REV.P. 32/2022 & CRL.M.A. 839/2022 (stay)**

ASHOK KUMAR CHAUDHARYPetitioner

Through: Ms. Sakshi Bhardwaj, Advocate.
versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

28.03.2025

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. Learned counsel for petitioners seeks permission to withdraw these petitions as the same have become infructuous. The petitioners had assailed framing of charge and now after completion of trial, the petitioners stand acquitted.
2. As requested, the petitions and pending applications are dismissed as withdrawn.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

MARCH 28, 2025/ry

Click here to check corrigendum, if any