



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.L.P. 43/2021
STATE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State with
IO SI Chetan, PS Paschim Vihar
West.

versus

MAMTA SEHGAL & ORS

....Respondents

Through: Mr. Pradeep Kumar Mishra,
Advocate with Mr. Ashish Upadhyay
Advocate for Respondent no.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

22.04.2025

%

CRL.L.P. 43/2021

1. Criminal Leave Petition under Section 378(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as 'Cr.P.C.'*) has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner/State seeking Leave to Appeal in Case FIR No. 203/2016 under Section 279/338 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 146/196 of the Motor Vehicle Act, registered at Police Station Mianwali Nagar, New Delhi.

2. For the reasons stated in the Application, the Leave to Appeal is allowed.

CRL.A...../2025 (to be numbered)

3. Criminal Leave Petition under Section 378(1) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been filed on behalf of the Appellant, against the impugned Order and Judgment dated 21.11.2019, passed by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate (West), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi acquitting the



Respondent/Accused in Case FIR No. 203/2016 under Section 279/338 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 146/196 of the Motor Vehicle Act, registered at Police Station Mianwali Nagar, New Delhi.

4. **Submissions heard.**
5. Judgment reserved.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

APRIL 22, 2025/RS