



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 539/2025**
AMIT GARG & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Daviender Hora & Mr.
Amandeep Singh, Advs. along with
all petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR....Respondents

Through: Mr. Pardeep Gahlot, APP with SI
Nishita, PS Bhajanpura.
Respondent No.2 through VC.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER
28.02.2025

%

CRL.M.A. 2623/2025

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 539/2025

1. This is a petition seeking quashing of FIR No.524/2010, Registered at PS Bhajan Pura, under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC, read with Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.
2. The parties have arrived at a settlement dated 05.12.2024 before the learned Principle Judge, Family Court, Karkardooma Courts.
3. The statement has been recorded before the learned Joint Registrar and all the amounts as per the settlement have already been paid.



4. Petitioner No.1 and respondent no. 2 have joined the proceeding through VC with their respective counsel.
5. It is stated that there is one minor child who is in the care and custody of respondent No.2.
6. Parties state that they have arrived at the settlement out of their own free will without any threat, pressure, coercion or undue influence.
7. I am of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in prosecuting the FIR any further on the ground that the parties have arrived at a voluntary settlement and settled their disputes.
8. It is directed that in view of the judgment ***Ganesh v. Sudhir Kumar Shrivastava*** [(2020) 20 SCC 787], the settlement executed between the petitioners and respondent No.2, and this order shall have no effect on the rights of child of the parties, who shall be free to avail all legal rights and remedies towards inheritance, maintenance, education, marriage expenses etc. against either of her parents.
9. I am convinced that quashing of such proceedings on account of compromise would bring about peace and would secure ends of justice. The Court does not see any fruitful purpose if criminal proceedings as permitted to continue any further. It is a fit case for quashing. In this view of the matter, there is no reason to continue the proceedings.
10. The petition is allowed and the aforementioned FIR is quashed alongwith the consequential proceedings emanating thereafter.

JASMEET SINGH, J

FEBRUARY 28, 2025/pk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)