



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 53/2023 and I.A. 1912/2023 & I.A. 9912/2023**

**KHADI AND VILLAGE
INDUSTRIES COMMISSION**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Devyani Nath and Ms. Vani
Sarin, Advocates.

versus

SUMESH SOOD AND ANR.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Sumit Rajput, Mr. Priyansh
Verma, Advocates for D-2.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL**

ORDER
31.01.2025

%

1. The suit has already been settled *qua* the defendant no.1.
2. Counsel for the defendant no.2 submits, on instructions, that the defendant no.2 has no objection if a decree of permanent injunction is passed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendant no.2.
3. Counsel further submits that the defendant no.2 is agreeable to pay costs of Rs.1 lakh to the plaintiff.
4. The same is agreeable to counsel for the plaintiff.
5. Accordingly, a decree of permanent injunction is passed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendant no.2 in terms of prayer clauses 58 (c), (d), (e) and (f) of the suit.
6. Further, the defendant no.2 shall also pay costs of Rs.1 lakh to the plaintiff within three days.



7. In light of the aforesaid, the counsel for the plaintiff does not press for the remaining reliefs prayed for in the suit.
8. Decree sheet be drawn up accordingly.
9. All pending applications stand disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

JANUARY 31, 2025

kd