



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 667/2022**

SHRI DALBIR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. N.S. Dalal, Mr. J.B. Mudgil, Ms. Nidhi Dalal, Mr. Alok Kumar and Ms. Rachana Dalal, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Standing Counsel with Mrs. K.K. Kiran Pathak, Mr. D Kapil, Mr. M.S. Akhtar and Mr. S K Jha, Advocates for R-2/LAC.

Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advocate for R-DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

16.12.2025

1. We have heard the respective counsels.
2. With assistance of *Mr. Pathak*, learned counsel appearing for Land Acquisition Collector ('*LAC*'), we have perused the Award dated 3rd July 2019 in the backdrop of challenge raised in the petition.
3. *Mr. Pathak* has invited our attention to *notes* specifically referred to in the Award, particularly at internal *page no.10*, extracted as under:



** Note: It is pertinent to mention here that the above mentioned schedule of acquired land does not include the area which has already been declared lapsed by the Hon'ble Delhi High Court and against which status quo order has been passed by the Hon'ble High Court/Hon'ble Supreme Court or any other competent court with regard to acquisition of land.*

***The details have already been given/published in the notification no. F.9(12)/L&B/LA/PT/3062 dated 04.07.2017 issued under section 6 of LA Act, 1894.*

4. *Mr. Pathak* has also invited our attention to the item at *Serial No. 119* which includes the land at *Khasra No. 1768/1* total admeasuring *2 Bighas 7 Biswas*. According to him, land in aforesaid *Khasra* is divided into two parts– (a) *1.4 Bigha*, to which petitioner has raised his claim and; (b) *1.3 Bigha*.
5. *Mr. Pathak* has suggested that the land, even if referred to in the aforementioned Award is shown to be acquired, however, the clarification referred above in the two *Notes*, extracted hereinabove, establishes that in view of operation of *status quo* in ***Writ Petition (C) 1644/2016*** (stay was ordered on 26th February 2016), the Award does not deal with the land of petitioner and as such would not operate against the petitioner.
6. Accepting the aforesaid submission, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the petition considering the above statement.
7. We make it clear, that this will not preclude the petitioner from submitting his objections, if any, including that of maintainability of acquisition proceedings *qua* notice dated 17th June 2019.
8. Petition stands disposed of in above terms. Pending applications, if any, are rendered infructuous.



9. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

ANISH DAYAL, J

DECEMBER 16, 2025/sm/sp