



\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 315/2025 & CRL.M.A. 1613/2025**

NAVEEN KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akshay, Advocate

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Hemant Mehla, APP for the State
with WSI Jyoti, PS Kanjhawala
Mr. Anil Dagar, Advocate for R-2
with R-2 in person (through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

29.10.2025

%

1. This petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023¹ seeks quashing of FIR No. 284/2018 registered under Sections 323/341/354/354B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at P.S. Kanjhawala and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. The petition is premised on a compromise between the Petitioner and Respondent No. 2, the terms of which are recorded in the Settlement Deed dated 20th December, 2024. In compliance with the order dated 24th September, 2025, Respondent No. 2 has appeared through video conferencing along with her counsel.
3. Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Settlement Deed has been implemented and that the Petitioner is willing to perform remaining

¹ "BNSS"



obligations, if any, after the FIR is quashed. On the other hand, counsel for Respondent No. 2 submits that the settlement deed has not yet been fully implemented and, therefore, he cannot support the prayer for quashing of the FIR.

4. Since, there appears to be a divergence of opinion between the parties as regards the settlement mechanism, the Court is unable to form an opinion as to whether there exists a genuine conclusive settlement between the parties. Nonetheless, since both the Petitioner and Respondent No. 2 do not dispute the execution of the settlement deed, they are granted liberty to apply afresh once the settlement have been fully implemented and Respondent No. 2 is willing to support the prayer for quashing. At that stage, the Court shall consider whether the FIR merits quashing under Section 528 of the BNSS.

5. The petition is dismissed with liberty as aforesaid. All rights and contentions of the parties are kept open. It is clarified that should the Petitioner chooses not to pursue the quashing of the FIR, he shall be at liberty to avail all other legal remedies available to him in accordance with law.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

OCTOBER 29, 2025/ab