



2025:DHC:237



\$~132

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**% ***Date of Decision: 15th January, 2025***+ **W.P.(C) 482/2025 & CM APPL. 2236/2025****SH. TARIF SINGH & ORS.**

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Rajesh Yadav, Mr. V.P. Rana and
Ms. Bhawana, Advocates**

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

.....Respondent

Through: **Ms. Shobhana Takiar, Standing
Counsel for DDA****CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN****JUDGMENT (oral)**

1. Petitioners seek quashing and setting aside of order dated 26.12.2024 issued by DDA under Sections 30(1) and 31(A) of the Delhi Development Act, 1957 in respect of warehouses in question.
2. The grievance coming from the side of petitioners is limited to the effect that pursuant to the show cause notice in question, petitioners had submitted a comprehensive representation supported with documents but these have not even been touched and considered while passing the impugned order.
3. Learned counsel for respondent-DDA appears on advance notice and submits that there is equally efficacious remedy available to the petitioners and they can always file statutory appeal against the aforesaid order in terms of DDA Act.
4. This Court has seen the impugned order dated 26.12.2024 and it



2025:DHC:237



seems that nothing else has been communicated to the petitioner and, therefore, it may be obviously quite difficult for the petitioners also to file appeal in absence of any reason being provided by the authority concerned while passing the aforesaid order.

5. After hearing arguments from both the sides and without prejudice to the rights and contentions of either of the party, the writ petition is allowed while directing the concerned authority i.e. Executive Officer (DA) to consider the matter afresh and to pass a reasoned and speaking order as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of six weeks from receipt of copy of this order. It will be up to the competent authority to afford any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner.

6. Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

7. Registry is directed to send a copy of this order to DDA.

8. Needless to say, in view of aforesaid, impugned order does not survive anymore.

(MANOJ JAIN)
JUDGE

JANUARY 13, 2025/dr