



\$~2 and 3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

(2)+ BAIL APPLN. 143/2024

PRINCE @ SAHIL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vineet Jain, Adv.

versus

STATE GNCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP of
the State with SI Neeraj, PS
Subi Mandi and Insp. Rahul, PS
South Avenue.

Ms. Sana, Adv. for Victim.

(3)+ BAIL APPLN. 578/2024, CRL.M.(BAIL) 326/2025

MANISH @ PAWAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vineet Jain, Adv.

versus

STATE GNCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP of
the State with SI Neeraj, PS
Subi Mandi and Insp. Rahul, PS
South Avenue.

Ms. Sana, Adv. for Victim.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

ORDER

25.03.2025

%

1. After making a few submissions, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present Bail Applications as it is submitted on behalf of the State that two important witnesses, that is, the Victim namely Shivam Sharma and an independent witness Monu Kumar are yet to be examined.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, after



examination of the both the witnesses, he shall file the fresh bail application and seeks liberty to the same.

3. In view of the above, the petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

SHALINDER KAUR, J

MARCH 25, 2025/SU/KP

Click here to check corrigendum, if any