



\$~61 & 62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 97/2025 & CRL.M.A. 863/2025**

SHAURYA DHALL AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Murari Tiwari, Mr.Rahul Kumar,
Ms.Nimisha Gupta, Mr. Ujjwal
Singh, Ms.Indira Murthy, Advocates
along with Petitioner in person.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand Khatri, ASC for State.
Mr. Payal Dhupar, Advocate for R-2
along with R-2 in person.

+ **W.P.(CRL) 118/2025 & CRL.M.A. 1018/2025**

KAMAL DHALL AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Murari Tiwari, Mr.Rahul Kumar,
Ms.Nimisha Gupta, Mr. Ujjwal
Singh, Ms.Indira Murthy, Advocates
along with Petitioner in person.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand Khatri, ASC for State.
Mr. Payal Dhupar, Advocate for R-2
along with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

20.01.2025

%

1. The Petition under *Article 226* of the *Constitution of India* has been filed for quashing of FIR No.0692/2023 under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the *Indian Penal Code, 1860* at P.S. Subhash Place.



2. The Writ Petition has also been filed for quashing of FIR No.0210/2023, under *Sections 354/354B/506* of the *IPC*, P.S. Subhash Place which is also emanating from the matrimonial dispute.
3. The Statement of the parties have been recorded who have endorsed their settlement and have stated that there is no coercion, pressure or undue influence in entering the settlement. It is also submitted that the first motion of divorce by mutual consent has been accepted and the Petition for the second motion has been signed which shall be filed in the Court tomorrow.
4. It is also submitted that parties have amicably settled all their disputes with no exchange of money and custody of the child has been agreed to be with the mother.
5. In view of the settlement. FIR No. FIR No.0692/2023 under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the *IPC*, at P.S. Subhash Place and FIR No. 0210/2023, under *Sections 354/354B/506 of the IPC*, P.S. Subhash Place are quashed. However, the settlement is without prejudice to the rights of the child.
6. Both the Petitions stand disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JANUARY 20, 2025
rk